EXHIBIT 1

Pages 1 - 7

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

Before The Honorable James Donato, Judge

GOR GEVORKYAN,
)
Plaintiff,
)
VS.
) NO. C 18-07004 JD

BITMAIN, INC., et al.,

San Francisco, California Thursday, December 19, 2019

TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS

APPEARANCES:

For Plaintiff:

Defendants.

THE MARLBOROUGH LAW FIRM, P.C. 445 Broad Hollow Road - Suite 400 Melville, New York 11747

BY: CHRISTOPHER MARLBOROUGH, ATTORNEY AT LAW

POMERANTZ LLP

1100 Glendon Avenue - 15th Floor Los Angeles, California 90024

BY: ARI Y. BASSER, ATTORNEY AT LAW

For Defendants:

O'MELVENY & MYERS LLP 400 South Hope Street - 18th Floor

Los Angeles, California 90071

BY: CARLOS M. LAZATIN, ATTORNEY AT LAW DAVID L. IDEN, ATTORNEY AT LAW

Reported By: Marla F. Knox, RPR, CRR

Official Reporter

Thursday - December 19, 2019

11:38 a.m.

2

1

PROCEEDINGS

3

---000---

4

5

THE CLERK: Calling Civil 18-7004, Gevorkyan versus Bitmain, Inc.

6

7

MR. MARLBOROUGH: Your Honor, Christopher Marlborough for Plaintiffs.

8

9

MR. BASSER: Good morning, Your Honor, Ari Basser from Pomerantz on behalf of Plaintiff in the putative class.

10

11

MR. LAZATIN: Good morning, Your Honor, Carlos Lazatin from O'Melveny & Myers for Bitmain Technology.

12

MR. IDEN: Good morning, Your Honor, David Iden on behalf of Bitmain as well.

14

13

THE COURT: Okay. Well, a lot of surprisingly, twisty complications on the name of this client -- the name of this

15 16

Defendant. So I was initially inclined just to find

17

jurisdiction because there is certainly a lot in the record about Bitmain Technologies, but what I think we ought to do is

19

18

just set a period of jurisdictional discovery, all right.

20

Let's just lock everything down because if there is no

the right person at the right time.

21

jurisdiction, everything that you do and I do would be for

22

naught. So it makes some sense to make sure we are iron clad

under Bristol-Myers Squibb and all the other cases; that we got

24

25

Now, I do want to say we will do this, but I don't know

what is going on with Bitmain Technologies, Limited in China and here and everywhere else. But if I get the sense that anybody has played hide the ball with jurisdiction, there are going to be consequences. So -- and the consequences will be significant. So I'm going to give you an opportunity -- I thought maybe 120 days. Does that seem enough, Mr. Marlborough?

MR. MARLBOROUGH: Your Honor, it does. We would also request a Special Master to address discovery issues.

THE COURT: Oh, no. I do my own discovery. You don't get Special Master for discovery. Those are rare and I use them only in the most extreme circumstances. I do my own discovery.

I'm assuming you have read the discovery letter. Just send me a three-page letter and do not oppose the letter until I ask. Don't do it until I ask. And if I ask for an opposition, you will have plenty of time to do it and I will hear it.

There will be no Special Master for discovery. But to finish my thought, if it turns out that someone is playing games with discovery, consequences -- I mean, company names and locations and everything else, consequences are going to be swift and short. Okay.

MR. LAZATIN: Understood, Your Honor. If I may be heard on just the jurisdictional discovery point, if I may make

one pitch to the Court.

THE COURT: Yes.

MR. LAZATIN: There are two main bases, main grounds, that are being alleged. One is the website -- web sales and the other is these various alleged contacts into which I'm guessing Your Honor is proposing jurisdictional discovery cover. The only pitch I would make is that each of those -- each of those alleged contacts -- the San Jose sales office, the social media, the crypto mining forums, this trade show, via Mr. Peng, so on -- that actually none of those -- even if those were attributed -- and I'm not saying they can be attributed to the Defendant, and they haven't argued that -- none of those actually matters for purposes of personal jurisdiction because none of the Plaintiff's claims arise from any of those.

THE COURT: I'm not -- we are not doing that now. We are just doing jurisdiction. And the discovery is going to be wide open, but it is going to be just for jurisdiction. You can take any discovery you want, but it has got to be on the point of whether there is specific personal jurisdiction in this district, okay. There are no limits. I do not want to hear from the Defendant: We decline to answer X, Y and Z because we think they are irrelevant. Don't do that. I'm telling you now.

MR. LAZATIN: Yes, sir.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I have some doubts already about the THE COURT: Defendants' position on this thing. I think someone is doing a tap dance on the name of the company. I may be wrong. I would be happy to see it. We are going to get to the bottom of it, and we are going to get to the bottom of it in the next 120 days. So is 120 days enough? MR. MARLBOROUGH: Well --THE COURT: I usually give 90 --MR. MARLBOROUGH: The concern is we are dealing with Chinese corporations, and there might be some additional delays. THE COURT: Let's start with 120. If you need more -but you have to tell me why you need more. For example, let's say the 120 clock starts functionally the first week of January, don't start serving things in March and tell me you need more time. You have to get on it right away. MR. MARLBOROUGH: Yes, Your Honor. Thank you. THE COURT: So you just keep it to jurisdiction issues, though, no claims related. MR. MARLBOROUGH: All right. It is open to interrogatories, depositions and documents? Anything you want. You want to take THE COURT: depositions, anything -- you do not need to go through the Hauge Convention. Under Rule 4, you just hand things to Mr. Lazatin and they are served. Okay.

MR. MARLBOROUGH: Right. Your Honor, Beijing Bitmain 1 is a wholly-owned subsidiary of the --2 THE COURT: I'm sorry? 3 MR. MARLBOROUGH: Beijing Bitmain, which is the new 4 5 entity that was first raised on the Reply brief. Yes. 6 THE COURT: MR. MARLBOROUGH: We started off with three entities. 7 When we showed all the sales and service contacts relating to 8 California, they added a fourth entity on the Reply brief, 9 right. 10 11 And as you will notice in the declarations, Defendants admitted that the -- that customers made purchases from 12 Bitmain's website. And then only on Reply now changed the 13 story to say that this website is not Bitmain's -- the 14 15 Defendant in this case, Bitmain's Technologies, Limited -- but 16 is another entity, Bitmain Technologies Co., Limited. 17 And with respect to that, you know, there might be some documents that we would need from this -- that they would 18 19 allege come from Bitmain Technologies Co., Limited, who is not a Defendant in this case, who was never discussed --20 THE COURT: You can take third-party discovery. 21 MR. MARLBOROUGH: We can take third-party discovery in 22 23 that as well. Thank you. THE COURT: That is all part of -- for example, I had 24 a case recently -- and I hope you read my Zithromia decisions. 25

```
Two companies were having a dispute, and Apple was a third
 1
    party; and it is perfectly fine to take discovery of Apple in
 2
     that case because that was going to determine whether or not
 3
     there was specific jurisdiction in this district.
 4
 5
              MR. MARLBOROUGH:
                                Thank you. Can I get the name of
 6
     the case again?
                          Zithromia, Z-I-T-H-R-O-M-I-A. And I won't
 7
              THE COURT:
    bore you with the Westlaw cites. If you look up Zithromia and
 8
     if you have a problem, put my name on it; and I will quarantee
 9
10
     you will just get these two.
11
          All right, 120 days is going to be the presumptive period
    unless there is a showing of good cause. Do whatever you want
12
     on jurisdiction. Send me the letters. I will handle all
13
     discovery, as I do in all my other cases.
14
15
              MR. MARLBOROUGH: Thank you, Your Honor.
16
              THE COURT: Anything else I can do for you today?
17
              MR. LAZATIN: Not from us.
18
              THE COURT:
                          Thank you.
              MR. MARLBOROUGH:
                                That's it.
                                            Thank you, Your Honor.
19
20
                  (Proceedings adjourned at 11:45 a.m.)
21
22
23
24
25
```

CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER I certify that the foregoing is a correct transcript from the record of proceedings in the above-entitled matter. DATE: Tuesday, January, 7,2019 Marla Krox Marla F. Knox, RPR, CRR U.S. Court Reporter